

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/748,995	WOODMAN, CLARE E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John R. Paradiso	3721

All Participants:

(1) John Paradiso.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Cummings.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12/2/2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to ensure the proper punctuation at the end of line 22 in claim 5, since the scanned copy of that claim appeared ambiguous in that regard.

Applicant confirmed that, in his 1.312 Amendment dated 6/15/2005, the punctuation at the end of claim 5 line 22 deleted the period and added a semi-colon..